

Xmas tax change may make 2010 tougher for Trusts...

Will the proposed changes affect you in 2010?

AccountingNorth
PROACTIVE. PROGRESSIVE. PROFESSIONAL.

If you operate a discretionary trust and distribute some of the income of the trust to a related company then a draft tax ruling issued in the week before Xmas may create some additional headaches for 2010.

In the spirit of Xmas goodwill and cheer the Tax Commissioner released Draft Ruling TR2009/D8. This ruling says that where a trust has allocated income to a company beneficiary but has not actually paid the company the allocated amount, then these distributions made after 16 December 2009 will be deemed to be a loan from the company back to the trust. The effect of this, in most cases, is that the loan will be captured under Division 7A of the Tax Act. This is the section that says where a company makes a loan to a shareholder or an associate of a shareholder, that loan will be deemed to be an unfranked dividend unless the company and the shareholder have entered into a complying loan agreement and interest and principal is being paid on the loan.

The Tax Office has been concerned for some time about trusts distributing income to a company but not physically paying the company the income distributed. Once the income is allocated by the trust to the company, it is regarded as an unpaid present entitlement. Payment of the money by the trust will discharge this entitlement. In many cases, the trust will not have paid the company because it does not have the available funds to meet the distribution. The money may be tied up in business working capital, committed to other assets, or even used in part to buy property within the trust. Irrespective of the reason, the funds were not available at the time to actually pay the distribution. Most private company owners have to deal at times with the difference between accounting profits and available cash. Using a company as a beneficiary of the trust had the advantage of capping the tax payable in that year to the company tax rate.

It has been common practice for many companies to build up a significant unpaid present entitlement account over a number of years. While the company has paid tax at the company tax rates on the distribution amounts, this is less than the tax payable if it had been to an individual beneficiary. The difference is mainly a timing issue. Ultimately, the money will flow from the trust to the company and then out of the company, generally in the form of dividends.

Historically, unpaid present entitlements have not been caught by Division 7A. The ATO had previously taken the position that an unpaid present entitlement did not create a loan relationship between the parties to which Division 7A would apply. This interpretation has now changed. The ruling is both retrospective and prospective. The key date however is 16 December 2009. The ATO says that where a trust had made distributions to a company prior to this date, and maintained them as an unpaid present entitlement, then this ruling interpretation will not apply and you are able to rely on past statements made by the ATO. So, if you have unpaid present entitlements between your trust and a company you will need to quarantine those that are pre 16 December 2009. This will need to be clearly recorded inside the accounts of the company and the trust.

07 5443 4988

 **Chartered Accountants**

NUMBER ONE IN NUMBERS

www.accountingnorth.com.au

Xmas tax change may make 2010 tougher for Trusts...


Continued...

AccountingNorth
PROACTIVE. PROGRESSIVE. PROFESSIONAL.

It is important to note that this is a draft ruling. It does not have the effect of law. It represents the Commissioner's view – a view not necessarily shared by all tax professionals. In the draft ruling the Commissioner says that he will not apply the ruling until it becomes a final ruling. The draft ruling is currently open for comment until later this month. Once those comments are received, the Commissioner may proceed to issue his final ruling. The time frame for this is not known. While the Commissioner will not apply the ruling until it is a final ruling, he has put us on notice now. Unless you want to argue the position with him you need to work on the basis that this interpretation will dominate. Otherwise, the retrospective nature of the ruling could come back to bite you.

If the Commissioner continues in this view and it becomes a final ruling, then trusts which have used corporate beneficiaries to limit tax payable on distributions, but where distributions have not been paid will need to change strategy. Otherwise, they will trigger an additional tax liability. Even in final ruling form this does not have the effect of law. However, if you did not accept the position you would need to be prepared to fight the Commissioner through the Appeals Tribunal or the Courts. Most business owners will not want to take that course of action.

Unfortunately, we are going to be in a 'wait and see' position for some time. There is no certainty that we will have a final position on this before June 30. Where you do operate a trust and utilise a corporate beneficiary, it would be a good idea to catch up for a tax planning meeting early in the year. This will allow some time to work through the strategy most appropriate for the current year.

 **Chartered Accountants**
NUMBER ONE IN NUMBERS

07 5443 4988

www.accountingnorth.com.au